PDF Version: ARIRIAB XVI (2013)

## 創価大学 国際仏教学高等研究所 年 報

平成24年度 (第16号)

Annual Report
of
The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology
at Soka University

for the Academic Year 2012

Volume XVI

創価大学・国際仏教学高等研究所 東京・2013・八王子

The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology Soka University Tokyo · 2013

# The Meaning of Yulanpen 盂蘭盆 —— "Rice Bowl" on Pravāraṇā Day

#### Seishi Karashima

#### (1) Yulanpen 盂蘭盆, Yulanpen jing 盂蘭盆經 and Yulan jing 盂蘭經

Yulanpen 盂蘭盆 is the name of a widely-celebrated Buddhist Ghost Festival in East Asia, which takes place at the end of the rainy season retreat of the Buddhist community, namely on the fifteenth day of the seventh lunar month. The spirits of the deceased are believed to return home on that day and the living pay homage to them, by placing food before their family altars, burning incense, asking monks to chant sutras and so on. The name of this festival is based on the Yulanpen jing 盂蘭盆經 (T. 16, no. 685), translated allegedly by Dharmaraksa (fl. 265?~311 C.E.). The Baoen Fengpen jing 報恩奉盆經 (T. 16, no. 686), by an anonymous "translator", is clearly an adaptation of the previous text<sup>1</sup>. The ascription to Dharmaraksa as the translator of the Yulanpen jing, however, is in doubt as this text is categorised as an anonymous translation in an old catalogue, namely the Chusanzangji ji !!! 三藏記集, which was compiled in the Liang Tianlan Period (502~519 C.E.)2. The Lidai Sanbao ji 歴代三寶記 (597 C.E.) was the first to ascribe this text to Dharmarakşa³. Yutaka Iwamoto doubted both the ascription to him and the plausibility of its being a translation from an Indian text, because "strange" expressions such as daoyan 道眼, xiaoshun 孝順, wenrushi 聞如是 occur in it.4 However, these old expressions themselves, which are, in fact, found in pre-Kumāraiīva translations<sup>5</sup>, demonstrate that this text was translated (or composed) in the third or fourth century. The usage of wu 吾 as the first person pronoun, found in this text, also agrees with Dharmarakşa's preference for wu 吾 over wo 我.6

<sup>\*</sup> I am greatly indebted to Dr. James Apple, Ms. Susan Roach, Ms. Liang Ye Tan, Mr. Kazuhiro Iguchi and Rev. Peter Lait for carefully reading through the manuscript and making numerous valuable suggestions and corrections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> English translations of these texts are found in Teiser 1988: 49f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> T. 55, 28c2. 盂蘭經一卷.

<sup>3.</sup> T. 49, 64a28. 盂蘭經一卷.

<sup>4</sup> Iwamoto 1979: 9f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5.</sup> The word *daoyan* 道眼 occurs nearly a hundred times in early Chinese translations from the Eastern Han Period. Dharmarakşa also used this word in his translation of the Lotus Sutra: cf. Krsh(1998) 92. The word *xiaoshun* 孝順 is found more than two hundred times in pre-Kumārajīva translations. Also, most of pre-Kumārajīva translations begin with *wenrushi* 閏如是 instead of *wowenrushi* 我聞如是. Thus, Iwamoto's doubts concerning this sutra are groundless.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Seishi Karashima "A Study of the Language of Early Chinese Buddhist Translations: A Comparison between the Translations by Lokakşema and Zhi Qian", § 1.4, in this volume.

From the sixth century onwards, various references to this text and the festival began to appear. Quotes from the former were used first in the Jinglü Yixiang 經律異相, a collection of Buddhist writings, which was compiled by the monks, Baochang 寶唱, Sengmin 僧旻 and others in 516 C.E.7. The festival itself is also mentioned in the Yanshi Jiaxun 顏氏家訓, written by the Northern Wei-period scholar, Yan Zhitui 顏之推 (531~591)8. A portion of this text is quoted as well in Du Gongzhan (杜公瞻; fl. 600~?)'s commentary on Zong Lin (宗懍)'s JingChu Suishi ji 荊楚歲時記 (A Record of the Seasonal Activities in the Jing-Chu Region; ca. 561 C.E.)9. According to Zhipan's Fozu Tongji 佛祖統紀 (compiled between 1258~1269), Emperor Wu of Liang (梁武帝) visited Tongtaisi Temple (同泰寺), which was constructed upon his orders, and held the Yulanpen (孟蘭盆齋) ceremony there in 538 C.E.<sup>10</sup> These demonstrate the apparent popularity of the text and the festival on both sides of the Yangtze River from the sixth century onwards at the latest.

Based on the older catalogues and the *Jinglü Yixiang* 經律異相, the text appears to have been originally entitled *Yulan jing* 盂蘭經<sup>11</sup>. The title *Yulanpen jing* 盂蘭盆經 appears only in relatively later catalogues<sup>12</sup> and texts<sup>13</sup>.

#### (2) English Translation of the Yulanpen jing 盂蘭盆經

The following English translation of this scripture is nothing other than my adaptation of those by Teiser (1988: 49f.) and Venerable Dr. Sri Dhammanand (http://www.buddhismtoday.com/english/texts/mahayana/006-Ullambana%20Sutra.htm):

The Sutra of Yulan Bowls

Translated by Zhu Fahu (竺法護 Dharmarakṣa) in the Western Jin Period, the (master of) the Three *Piṭaka*s from Yuezhi (月氏)

Thus have I heard 14. Once upon a time, the Buddha was staying in the garden of the Benefactor-of-Orphans-and-the-Lonely ( $An\bar{a}thapin\dot{q}ada$ ) in the Jetavana Park in the country of  $\dot{S}r\bar{a}vast\bar{\iota}$ .

Mahāmaudgalyāyana (大目乾連) had just obtained the six penetrations and wanted to save his parents in order to repay their kindness in raising him. He, then, surveyed the world with his divine eyes<sup>15</sup> and found his deceased mother being born amongst hungry ghosts. Finding neither food nor drink, she was but skin and bones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> T. 53, no. 2121, 73c21~74a5.

<sup>\*《</sup>顏氏家訓・終制篇》"有時齋供,及七月半盂蘭盆,望於汝。"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> An English translation is found in Teiser 1988: 56f.

<sup>10.</sup> T.49, no. 2035, 351a26.

<sup>11.</sup> The Chusanzangji ji 出三藏記集 (502~519 C.E.), T. 55, 28c2. 盂蘭經一卷; the Lidai Sanbao ji 歴代三寶記 (597 C.E.), T. 49, 64a28. do.; the Datang Neidianlu 大唐內典錄 (664 C.E.), T. 55, no. 2149, 235a17. do. (= 242c15); the Gujin Yijing Tuji 古今譯經圖記 (664 + C.E.), T. 55, no. 2151, 354a13f. do.; Jinglü Yixiang 經律 異相 T. 53, no. 2121, 74a5. 出盂蘭經 ("From Yulan jing").

<sup>12</sup> The Dazhou Kanding zhongjing Mulu 大周刊定衆經目録 (695 C.E.), T. 55, no. 2153, 431c5. 盂蘭盆經一卷 (= 469b24); the Kaiyuan Shijiaolu 開元釋教録 (730 C.E.), T. 55, no. 2154, 494c2.盂蘭盆經一卷亦云盂蘭經 ("Yulanpen jing, one juan", also entitled "Yulan jing") (= 685a23, 707b15); the Zhenyuan Xinding Shijiao Mulu 貞元新定釋教目録 (800 C.E.), T. 55, no. 2157, 791c31. 盂蘭盆經一卷亦直云盂蘭經 ("Yulanpen jing, one juan", also entitled just "Yulan jing") (≒ 928a15).

<sup>13.</sup> For example, the Foshuo Yulanpen jing shu 佛説盂蘭盆經疏 (A Commentary on the Foshuo Yulanpen jing) by Zongmi 宗密(780~841 C.E.), T. 39, no. 1792.

<sup>14</sup> 聞如是: Many of the pre-Kumārajīva translators, incl. Dharmarakṣa, used this phrase.

<sup>15</sup> 道眼: This expression occurs often in pre-Kumārajīva translations, incl. Dharmaraksa's; cf. Krsh(1998) 92.

Maudgalyāyana (目連) became very sad and went to (往) her so as to give (餉) her his rice-filled bowl. Having received the bowl of rice, his mother gathered the rice up with her right hand, while shielding it with her left hand. However, before it could enter her mouth, the rice turned into burning coals and hence, she could not eat it. Maudgalyāyana shouted and cried in sorrow and returned to the Buddha to tell him what had happened.

The Buddha said: "As your mother's transgressions are deep and firmly rooted, to save her is beyond your capacity. Although you are filial, and your voice moves heaven and earth, (even) the spirits of heaven and earth, Evil *Māra* (邪魔<sup>16</sup>), heretics and ascetics<sup>17</sup> or the Four Heavenly God-kings can do nothing to help her. You should resort to the supernatural power of the assemblage of the monks of the ten directions and then, she will be liberated. I shall tell you now, how to save her so that all her difficulties may leave her and her worries and sufferings may be eradicated."

The Buddha said to Maudgalyayana: "When the assemblage of the monks of the ten directions attends the Pravāraṇā (自恣) on the fifteenth day of the seventh month (i.e., the end of the rainy season retreat), one should, — for the sake of their ancestors of seven generations and their present parents, who are in difficulties, prepare rice, food of a hundred flavours, five kinds of fruit, vessels (盆器) for drawing and pouring water, incense, oil, lamps, candles, mattresses and bedding; place the tastiest food in the world in bowls (盆); and offer these to the assemblage of the monks of the ten directions. On that day, all the saints, — those who meditate in the mountains; those who have attained the fruits of the four stages (of sainthood); those who walk up and down under trees; those who edify voice-hearers (聲聞) and those who awaken to causation (縁覺) by means of the six penetrations and sovereign power; those who are (in fact) bodhisattvas-cum-great men (大人 mahāsattva) of the tenth stage but manifest themselves provisionally as bhikşus —receive, all with one mind, the Pravāraṇā food (鉢和羅飯) in the assembly. They hold the pure precepts and the way of the saints fully; their virtues are vast. If one makes offerings to these monks who attend the *Pravāraṇā*, their present parents and ancestors of seven generations, as well as the six kinds of relatives, will be able to escape from the sufferings in the three paths, be liberated immediately and will be clothed and fed spontaneously. If one's parents are still alive, they will be happy and joyful for one hundred years. If they are already deceased, (they as well as) the ancestors of seven generations will be born in heaven. They are reborn as they like and enter the light of celestial flowers and receive limitless bliss."

Then the Buddha ordered the assemblage of the monks of the ten directions to chant prayers (呪願  $daksin\bar{a}$ ) at first for the parents of seven generations for the sake

<sup>16.</sup> 邪魔: A rendering of Māra~ pāpīyas~. Zhi Qian (fl. ca. 220~257 C.E.) constantly rendered Māra~ pāpīyas~ as 邪, 弊邪; cf. Krsh(2010) 37f. s.v. 弊魔; ib. 757. Dharmarakşa used the word 邪魔 in another of his translations: T. 15, no. 598, 154a20.

<sup>17.</sup> 道土: This word was used frequently as renderings for *brāhmaṇa*, *śramaṇa*, *tāpasa etc.* in pre-Kumārajīva translations. Dharmarakṣa used this word many times as well, e.g. T. 14, no. 425, 30b24. 如號名聞梵志、道土大祠祀施.

of the donor's family, practise  $dhy\bar{a}na$ -concentration and then, receive the food. After receiving the bowls (盆; v.l. 食 "food"), they should first place them in front of the Buddha's  $st\bar{u}pa$ . When the assemblage of the monks finishes chanting the prayers, each of them may then receive the food.

At that time, the *bhikṣu* Maudgalyāyana and the assembly of great Bodhisattvas all greatly rejoiced and the sound of Maudgalyāyana's lamenting and crying utterly ceased. At that time, on that very day, Maudgalyāyana's mother attained liberation from one *kalpa* of sufferings as a hungry ghost.

Then Maudgalyāyana said to the Buddha again: "My parents were able to receive the power of the merit of the Three Jewels, thanks to the imposing, supernatural power of the assemblage of the monks. In the future, all disciples of the Buddha, who (wish to) practise filial piety, should also offer *yulan* bowls (to the assemblage of monks) (應奉孟蘭盆)<sup>18</sup> in order to save their parents and the ancestors up to seven generations. Isn't that thus possible?"

The Buddha replied: "Excellent! You have raised a very good question. You asked me about what I was just going to tell you. O good man, if bhikṣus, bhikṣunīs, kings, crown princes, princes, ministers, prime ministers, three ducal ministers, officials of all ranks, common and ordinary people wish to practise filial respect (孝慈)¹¹, they should all, for the sake of their present parents who bore them²⁰ (所生現在父母) as well as for the sake of their ancestors of seven generations, on the fifteenth day of the seventh month, (namely) the day on which the Buddha rejoices, the day of the Community's Pravāranā (自恣), place food and drink of a hundred flavours in yulan bowls (以百味飲食安盂蘭盆中) and offer them to the monks, who are participating in the Pravāranā, wishing that their present parents will live for a hundred years without illness, without suffering from any affliction; that their ancestors of seven generations will leave the sufferings of hungry ghosts, be born amongst men and gods and be happy and joyful without limit."

The Buddha said<sup>21</sup>: "Good men and good women are disciples of the Buddha. If they (wish to) practise filial piety, they should, in thought after thought, think constantly of their present parents and their ancestors up to<sup>22</sup> seven generations. Every year, on the fifteenth day of the seventh month, they should<sup>23</sup>, out of filial respect <sup>24</sup>,

<sup>18</sup> 應奉盂蘭盆: The Koryǒ (or Korean) Canon and the Taishō Edition have 應奉此盂蘭盆 instead, while the other editions read 應奉盂蘭盆. 此 is superfluous.

<sup>19.</sup> 孝慈, in which 慈 means "respect", is a very archaic expression (cf. Seishi Karashima "A Study of the Language of Early Chinese Buddhist Translations: A Comparison between the Translations by Lokakṣema and Zhi Qian", § 2.5.2, in this volume). This usage also indicates that this scripture was translated (or composed) in the pre-Kumārajīva period.

<sup>20</sup> 所生現在父母 is also an archaic expression; cf. Krsh(1998) 437, s.v. 所生母.

<sup>21.</sup> 告 means "said" here without defining the person to whom the Buddha was speaking. From the context, however, it is clear that the Buddha was speaking to Maudgalyāyana and the other disciples. This usage of 告 is often found in other Buddhist translations, e.g.: T. 8, no. 225 (the Damingdu jing 大明度經 by Zhi Qian 支 謙), 488b1f. 善業問: "謗誹斷經者,凡用幾事。" 佛告: "斯士、女無戒。爲邪所中故。不樂深經。以斯二事斷明度矣。…… 是爲四事。" 善業白佛言: "不覩深歸少有信者。"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 乃至: The *Koryŏ* (or Korean) Canon and the Taishō Edition have 乃至供養 instead, while the other editions read 乃至. 供養 is superfluous.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 當 (←常): 當,常 as well as 嘗 interchange with one another through their common simplified form 尚.

think of their parents who bore them (所生父母) and their ancestors up to seven generations and, for their sakes, <u>prepare yulan</u> bowls and offer them to the Buddha and the Community (作盂蘭盆施佛及僧) and thus repay the loving kindness of their parents, who raised and nourished them. All the disciples of the Buddha should respectfully follow this teaching (法)."

At that time, upon hearing what the Buddha had preached, the *bhikşu* Maudgalyāyana and the fourfold assembly of disciples<sup>25</sup> rejoiced and practised it respectfully.

The Sutra of Yulan Bowls

#### (3) The *Pravāraṇā* Ceremony and offerings by the laity

What the Buddha taught in this sutra is that people, who wish to practise filial piety and save their parents and ancestors up to seven generations from sufferings, should on the day of the Community's *Pravāraṇā* (自恋), namely on the fifteenth day of the seventh month (i.e., the end of the rainy season retreat), offer delicious food, placed in bowls, to the assemblage of monks of the ten directions who come to participate in the *Pravāraṇā*. Such food is termed *Pravāraṇā* food (鉢和羅飯). In addition, as this food is placed in *yulan* bowls (以百味飲食安盂蘭盆中), the food itself is also called "*yulan* bowls" (盂蘭盆).

Pravāraṇā (Pāli Pavāraṇā), zizi 自恣 and suiyi 隨意 in Chinese, is a ceremony held at the end of the three-month rainy season retreat by Buddhist monks. In Theravada Buddhism and in Nepal, it was and is still held on the full moon day of the seventh or eighth month, i.e. Āśvina (September-October) or Kārttika (October-November) respectively — the near begins in Caitra (March-April) in India and South Asian countries. In East Asia, the ceremony is held on the fifteenth day of the seventh or eighth month of the Chinese lunar calendar, where the new year begins in January or February. On this day, each monk comes before the assemblage of monks and atones for any offence, which he might have committed during the rainy season retreat and seeks absolution. Proceedings of the Pravāraṇā day are prescribed in various Vinaya texts. 27

The Pāli *Vinaya Piṭaka* states that, on the *Pavāraṇā* day, local people came to a dwelling place of monks and gave gifts until the night was almost ended.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>24.</sup> 孝順慈: Some editions have 孝慈. Both 孝順慈 and 孝慈 may mean "filial respect for one's parents"; see note 19.

<sup>25.</sup> 四輩弟子: This expression, which occurs in Dharmarakşa's translation, is of pre-Kumārajīva usage; cf. Krsh(1998) 425f., s.vv. 四輩, 四輩弟子; cf. also Krsh(2001) 422, s.v. 四輩弟子. Kumārajīva used 四衆 or 四部衆 instead; cf. Krsh(2001) 253, s.v. 四部衆.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Cf. Upasak 1975: 147f.; Chung 1998; Kieffer-Pülz 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Vin I 157~178; T. 22, no. 1421 (the Vinaya of the Mahīśāsakas), 130c~133c; T. 22, no.1425 (the Vinaya of the Mahāsāmghikas), 451a~452a; T. 22, no.1428 (the Vinaya of the Dharmaguptaka), 835c~843b; T. 23, no. 1435 (the Vinaya of the Sarvāstivādins), 165a~173a; the *Pravāraṇāvastu* of the Mūlasarvāstivādins, of which the Sanskrit fragments, the Tibetan translation and the Chinese one by Yijing (T. 24, no. 1446) have been edited in Chung 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Vin I 168.26f. tena kho pana samayena aññatarasmim āvāse tadahu pavāraņāya manussehi dānam dentehi yebhuyyena ratti khepitā hoti.

The Tibetan and Chinese translations<sup>29</sup> of the Mūlasarvāstivādins' *Pravāraṇā-vastu* also say the following, concerning the laity who visit the Community with various offerings on that day:

Also, on the very day of the fifteenth, i.e. the  $Prav\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$  day, the king, the queen, prince(s), minister(s), commander(s), city people and village people altogether come to the monastery ( $\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$ ). Then, the king also donates a great many robes and worldly things ( $zang\ zing\ gi\ rnyed\ pa\ =\ \bar{a}misa-l\bar{a}bha$ ; 諸飲食 "food and drink") to the Community, so do the queen, prince(s), minister(s), commander(s), city people and village people, donate a great many robes and worldly things to the Community. The monk, who preaches, also exhausts himself by preaching the whole night.<sup>30</sup>

Also, the Vinaya of the Mahāsāmghikas says:

If monks, who have spent the rainy season retreat in a village, hear that, in a city, various offerings are given and the preaching of Dharma is given the whole night long on the  $Prav\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$  day, and all want to go (to the city), they should perform the  $Prav\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$  on the fourteenth day and after that go there.<sup>31</sup>

Also, the famous Chinese pilgrim Yijing 義淨 (635~713 C.E.), who travelled to and studied in India nearly at the end of the 7th century C.E. for 25 years and later returned in China, translated many Vinaya texts as well as sutras, described the *Pravāraṇā* ceremony, which he witnessed, in detail in his *Nanhai Jigui Neifa zhuang* 南海寄歸內法傳 (A Record of the Buddhism sent Home from the Southern Sea; 691 C.E.). Takakusu translated it as follows³²:

<sup>33</sup>Chapter XV Concerning the pravârana-day.

The day on which the summer-retreat ends and the season (lit. the year) closes should be the Sui-i<sup>34</sup> (lit. 'according to one's wish' or 'indulgence;' Pravârana), i.e. pointing out the faults of others, as one likes, according to the three points (i. e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> No Sanskrit fragment of this portion is found.

<sup>30.</sup> Chung 1998: 196f. 'di Itar yang dgag dbye bco Inga pa de nyid la rgyal po dang | btsun mo dang | gzhon nu dang | blon po dang | khrom gyi ru ba dang | grong mi dang | yul mi dag dang thabs cig tu kun dga' ra bar lhags la | der rgyal pos kyang dge 'dun la gos dang zang zing gi rnyed pa mang du phul | btsun mo dang | gzhon nu dang | blon po dang | khrom gyi ru ba dang | grong mi dang | yul mi dag gis kyang dge 'dun la gos dang zang zing gi rnyed pa mang du phul la sgrogs par byed pa'i dge slong gis kyang bsgrags pas nam ring zhig zad par gyur pa la (= Tib[P], no. 1030, 214b3f.; Tib[D], no. 1, ka 228a1f.). A German translation is found in ib. 241. T. 24, no. 1446, 1046b23f. 爲隨意事。若於住處,或有王來,并諸眷屬。或有大臣、官屬、城内外人亦皆來集,將諸飲食及衣物等,奉施苾芻僧伽,令其呪願。苾芻竟夜呪願,極大辛苦。

<sup>31.</sup> T. 22, no.1425, 451b16f. 若比丘聚落中安居,聞城中自恣日種種供養,竟夜説法,衆欲往者,應十四日 自恣已,得去。

<sup>32.</sup> Takakusu 1896; 86~88.

<sup>33.</sup> T. 54, no. 2125, 217b19~c10: 十五隨意成規。凡夏罷歲終之時,此日應名隨意,即是隨他於三事之中,任意舉發,説罪除愆之義。舊云自恣者,是義翻也。必須於十四日夜,請一經師,昇高座,誦佛經。于時俗士雲奔,法徒霧集,燃燈續明,香花供養。明朝總出,旋繞村城,各並虔心,禮諸制底。棚車 興像,鼓樂張天,幡蓋榮羅,飄揚蔽日,名爲三摩近離,譯爲和集。凡大齋日,悉皆如是,即是神州 行城法也。禺中始還入寺;日午方爲大齋。過午咸集,各取鮮茅可一把許。手執足蹈,作隨意事。先 乃苾芻,後方尼衆,次下三衆。若其衆大,恐延時者,應差多人,分受隨意。被他擧罪,則准法説除。當此時也,或俗人行施,或衆僧自爲,所有施物將至衆前。其五徳應問上坐云:"此物得與衆僧爲隨意物不?"上坐答云:"得。"所有衣服、刀子、針錐之流,受已均分。斯其教也。此日所以奉刀針者,意求聰明利智也。隨意既訖,任各東西。即是坐夏已周,無勞更經一宿。廣如餘處。此不詳言。

<sup>34.</sup> 隨意.

what one has seen, what one has heard, and what one has suspected). Then follows confession and atoning for faults. A former translation of Pravârana was Sse-sse<sup>35</sup>, i.e. 'self-indulgence' according to its sense.

On the night of the fourteenth day (the fifteenth day is the last day of the retreat), the assembly should invite a preceptor to mount a high seat and recite a Buddhist Sûtra, when lay devotees as well as priests throng together like clouds or mist. They light lamps continually, and offer incense and flowers. The following morning they all go out round villages or towns and worship all the Kaityas with sincere mind.

They bring storied carriages, images in sedan-chairs, drums, and other music resounding in the sky, banners and canopies hoisted high in regular order (lit. entwined and arranged), flattering and covering the sun; this is called Sa-ma-kin-li (Sâmagrî), which is translated as 'concord' or 'thronging together.' All great Upavasatha-days are like this day. This is what we call in China 'Ceremony of going around a city.' At the beginning of the forenoon (9 to 11 a.m.) they come back to the monastery, at noon they keep the great Upavasatha-ceremony, and in the afternoon all gather together, each taking in his hand a tuft of fresh rushes. Handling it with their hands or treading on it with their feet they do what they like, first Bhikshus, next Bhikshunîs; then the three lower classes of the members. If it be feared that the time should be too long owing to the largeness of the number, the Sangha should order several members to go together and receive the Pravâraṇa-ceremony. When any offence has been pointed out by another, one should confess and atone for it according to the Law.

At this time, either the laymen present gifts, or the Sangha itself distributes them, and all sorts of gifts are brought out before the assembly. The five venerable persons (one each from the five Parishads (?)) should then ask the heads of the assembly (i.e. Sthaviras): 'Can these things be given to the members of the Sangha and made their own possession, or not?' The heads of the assembly reply: 'Yes, they can.' Then all garments, knives, needles, awls, &c., are received and equally distributed. Such is the teaching (of the Buddha). The reason why they present knives and awls on this day is that they wish the recipients to obtain (sharp) intelligence and keen wisdom. When thus the Prâvaraṇa ends, all go their ways (lit. to east or west). If they have fully kept their residence in the summer there is no need of passing a night there; this is fully explained elsewhere, and I shall not state it here in detail.

Thus, it is clear that in India, people made offerings on the *Pravāraṇā* day, as it is said in the *Yulanpen jing*.

In Theravāda Buddhism, the *Pravāraṇā* (Pāli *Pavāraṇā*) is still celebrated till this day. It is called *Wan Ok Phansa* (literally "the day of leaving the rainy season retreat"; *phansa* < Pāli *vassa* < Skt. *varsa* "the rainy season retreat") in Thai and *Boun Awk Phansa* in Lao. It is also referred to as *Mahāpavāraṇā*. This day falls on the day of the full moon on the eleventh lunar moon (October). At dawn or later in the morning, the laity visits temples to offer food or other requisites to the community of monks in order to make merit, and the

<sup>35.</sup> 自恣.

monks, in turn, reciprocate such offerings by delivering sermons on the Buddhist teachings. In the evening, either candlelight processions are held around the temples or people float small lit 'boats' made of banana stems or leaves, decorated with candles and flowers, down the rivers and streams.<sup>36</sup>

#### (4) Filial Piety, Rescue of Deceased Parents and Ancestors

The Yulanpen jing is often regarded as apocryphal. One of the reasons for this is that filial piety (孝順) is emphasised in this sutra. The idea that filial piety is characteristically Chinese traditional thought and alien to Buddhism is often found in books and articles on Buddhism. This, however, is not true. For example, the Singālovāda-suttanta in the  $D\bar{\imath}ghanik\bar{a}ya$  of Theravāda, which has its counterparts in Sanskrit and Chinese of various schools prescribes the laity's duties towards its parents as follows:

In five ways a child should tend his parents as the eastern quarter: — (The child should think:) "Once supported by them, I shall now support them; I shall perform my duties towards them; I shall maintain the family lineage; I shall regulate (properly) the inheritance; I shall give offerings to them when they are dead (petānam kālakatānam dakkhiṇam anuppadassāmi). (DN III 189.5~9)

Also, we find some Kharoṣṭhī inscriptions from India and Pakistan which state that a monastery or statues were offered to the Buddhist Community on behalf of deceased parents.<sup>39</sup> For example, a Kharoṣṭhī inscription, which is written on the pedestal of a Bodhisatva statue allegedly from Landi Kotal (a small town at the top of the Khyber Pass) in Pakistan, reads as follows:

A donation of the Communities (of the four directions). May it be an act of  $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$  for (our) deceased, dead parents! May it be an act of  $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$  for the deceased master Saṃghārāma!<sup>40</sup>

Rescuing deceased parents in the world of *pretas* has been sometimes regarded as alien to Indian Buddhism. However, as Takashi Irisawa (1990: 154) and Akira Fujimoto (2003) have pointed out, there are stories in Pāli and Sanskrit literature which describe that the Buddha's disciples rescued *pretas*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> A similar festival can be found also at various places in Japan at the end of *Yulanpen*, i.e. on the fifteenth or sixteenth of August. Having held memorial services for the spirits of the deceased, people float paper lanterns and other offerings down in a river or on the sea, which is called *Tōrō Nagashi* (灯籠流し) or *Shōryō Nagashi* (精霊流し).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>. Some Buddhist scholars have already pointed out that filial piety has been important in Indian Buddhism since very early times; cf. Schopen 1984 = 1997: 56~71 (I thank Dr. James Apple for reminding me of this article); Guang Xing 2005 (with further references).

<sup>38.</sup> E.g. T. 1, no. 1, the Shansheng jing 善生經 (Dharmaguptakas); various Sanskrit fragments from Central Asia belonging to the Sarvāstivādins; T. 1, no. 26, the Shansheng jing 善生經 of the same school; T. 1, no.16, the Shijialuoyue liufangli jing 尸迦羅越六方禮經 of an unknown school affiliation, allegedly translated by An Shigao; T. 1, no.17, Shanshengzi jing 善生子經 of unknown school affiliation, translated by Shi Fadu; two fragments of a folio from Afghanistan, which presumably belong to the Mahāsāṃghika-Lokottaravādins. Cf. Hartmann/Wille 2006; 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39.</sup> Cf. Schopen 1984: 115f. = 1997: 62f.; Irisawa 1989: 4f.; IBInsc I 961f. (Gandhāra I), 1006f. (Taxila 1).

<sup>40.</sup> saghana danamukhe madapidara[n]a adhvadi<da>na kalagadana puyae bhava(tu) uajayasa sagharamasa adhvadida[sa] puya[e] bhavatu (= \*samghānām dānamukhe mātāpitīnām adhvātītānām kālagatānām pūjāyai bhavatu! upādhyāyasya samghārāmasya adhvātītasya pūjāyai bhavatu!). See Seishi Karashima "Two Inscriptions in Brāhmi and Kharosthī" in this volume. Cf. also IBInsc I 961f. (Gandhāra I).

In the *Petavatthu* we find a story, which resembles our *Yulanpen jing*, namely the *Sāriputtattherassa mātupetīvatthu* ("The Elder Sāriputra's Mother Petī Story"; Pv, no. 14), in which Sāriputta (Skt. Śāriputra) rescued his mother, who had become a female ghost (*petī*), being afflicted with hunger and thirst, and ate the pus and blood of animals and men. She asked her son, Sāriputta, to rescue her, saying: "Give, dear son, a gift for me and when you have given make it over to me (*uddisāhi me*) — surely then I will be freed from eating pus and blood" Having heard his mother's words, Sāriputta "built four huts and he gave those huts together with food and drink to the Sangha of the four quarters and then dedicated that donation to his mother (*mātu dakkhiṇāya ādisi*). Immediately he dedicated this the result came into being, food, drink and clothing being the fruit of this donation (*dakkhiṇāya*). Thereupon she became pure, clad in fresh, clean clothes, wearing those more fine than those of Kāsi ..."42

Also, Irisawa (1990: 154) has pointed out that stories in the Avadānaśataka, nos. 41~45 (= T. 4, no. 200, 222b~224c) have similar motifs as our Yulanpen jing. Especially no. 45 (Avś I 256f.) resembles greatly our sutra. The story states that Maudgalyāyana met five hundred pretas on his way to Rājagrha, who explained to him how they had become pretas and asked him to convey to their relatives in Rajagrha concerning the retributions of their evil also asked him to request their relatives to make offerings" (chandakabhikṣaṇaṃ)<sup>43</sup>, to serve the community of monks with the Buddha as head with food, and to dedicate the merit from these gifts in their name (asmākam nāmnā dakşinādeśanām kārayitvā)44, so that they could be liberated from the existence of pretas45. After agreeing to do so, Maudgalyāyana visited their relatives and got them to prepare "freewill offerings" and to invite the community of monks with the Buddha as head the next day. Having done so, he told both the pretas and their relatives that they would meet together the next day. On the following morning, when the food was prepared and the time had come, the pretas did not appear. Maudgalyāyana tried in vain to find them by means of his supernatural powers, but he could not see them anywhere in the whole world. Being troubled, he went up to the Buddha and told him that he could not see the donors (dānapati). The Buddha replied that they had been blown away by the wind of karman (karmavāyu) and that there were limitless numbers of worlds which were beyond the capacity of disciples and pratyekabuddhas to perceive. Then, the Buddha said that he would manifest his supernatural power and he ordered the gandī to be struck. As the gandī was being struck, the whole community of monks and the relatives of pretas gathered together. Then, through the supernatural power of the Buddha, the scene became visible in which the pretas were beholding the Buddha and the monks eating. The pretas also remembered that their relatives were serving the Buddha and the monks on their behalf. Then, the Buddha dedicated the merit of the donation (dakṣiṇām ādiśati) as follows: "The merit from this donation shall be

<sup>41.</sup> Pv-a(tr) 85; Pv 14-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42.</sup> Pv-a(tr) 87; Pv 14-8~10.

<sup>43.</sup> Cf. BHSD, s.v. chandaka (1).

<sup>44</sup> Cf. BHSD, s.v. daksinādeśanā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Avś I 257.8f. teşām asmākīnām karmaplotim nivedya chandakabhikşanam kṛtvā buddhapramukham bhikşusamgham bhojayitvā asmākam nāmnā dakṣinādeśanām kārayitvā câsmākam pretayoner mokṣaḥ syād. Cf. Avś(tr) 175f.

dedicated to the *pretas*! They shall leave the dreadful world of *pretas*!" Having embraced faith in the Buddha, those *pretas* died and were reborn into Trāyastriṃśat Heaven.

Thus, filial piety and the rescue of deceased parents and ancestors, as described in the *Yulanpen jing*, which are often regarded as alien to Indian Buddhism, are in fact well attested in its literature.

#### (5) Previous Interpretations of Yulanpen 盂蘭盆

#### (5.1) Xuanying's Interpretation

The meaning of *yulanpen* 盂蘭盆 has been largely discussed for more than a century and a vast number of articles and books, dealing with this mysterious word, have been published. I shall refrain from listing all those studies and instead, refer interested readers to Ashikaga 1951, Teiser 1988: 21~25 and Irisawa 1990: 159~166 for further readings. All the confusion surrounding the meaning of this word stems from Xuanying (玄應)'s misinterpretation of it in his *Yiqiejing Yinyi* 一切經音義 ("Sounds and Meanings in the Buddhist Canon"), which was published in 650 C.E. His explanation of the word is as follows:

Yulanpen 盂蘭盆. This word is corrupted. The correct form is wulanponu 烏藍婆拏, meaning "hanging upside down". According to Indian custom, on the Pravāraṇā day of the community of monks, (lay people) prepare grand offerings and donate them to Buddhist monks in order for their deceased ancestors to be saved from the agony of being hung upside down. Accordingly, a secular book there (i.e. in India) says: "If a deceased ancestor had committed offences, and he/she has no descendent, and nobody holds a service to the gods in order to ask them to save him/her, then he/she will suffer the agony of being hung upside down in the ghost realm." Although Buddhists follow such secular customs and perform the rites, they teach (people) to plant merits profoundly in the field of the Three Jewels. Traditionally, it has been said that yulanpen<sup>46</sup> is a vessel, in which to store food. This explanation is wrong.<sup>47</sup>

#### (5.2) Modern Interpretations

In modern times, Buddhist scholars have been speculating for the last 130 years about the Sanskrit form of wulanpona 烏藍婆拏 as well as yulanpen 盂蘭盆, starting with Bunyiu Nanjio (南條文雄) who reconstructed 烏藍婆拏 as ullambana (< Skt. avalambana "hanging down"?) in his famous catalogue of the Buddhist Canon.<sup>48</sup> This reconstruction was followed by Pā. ullumpana ("saving, helping") by other Japanese scholars. There have also been scholars who maintain that 盂蘭盆 might be Sogdian urvan ("soul"), Iranian ulavān (<

<sup>46.</sup> The manuscript reads 盂瓮, which is presumably a scribal error for 盂蘭盆. In Huilin's quotation, it reads 盂蘭盆 instead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> 盂蘭盆:此言訛也。正言烏藍婆拏,此譯云倒懸。案西國法,至於衆僧自恣之日,盛設供具,奉施佛僧,以救先亡倒懸之苦。以彼外書云:"先亡有罪,家復絶嗣,无人祭神請救,則於鬼處受倒懸之苦。"佛雖順俗,亦設祭儀,乃敎於三寶田中深起功德。舊云盂瓫 (read 盂蘭盆)是貯食之器,此言誤也。(*Zhonghua Dazangjing* 中華大藏經, vol. 56, no. 1163, 1014c19f.). This explanation is quoted in Huilin (慧琳)'s *Yiqiejing Yinyi* 一切經音義 as follows: 盂蘭盆:此言訛也。正言烏藍婆拏。此譯云倒懸。案西國法,至於眾僧自恣之日,云先亡有罪,家復絕嗣,亦無人饗祭,則於鬼趣之中受倒懸之苦。佛令於三寶田中,俱具奉施佛僧,祐資彼先亡,以救先亡(←云)倒懸飢餓之苦。舊云盂蘭盆是貯食之器者,此言誤也。(T. 54, no. 2128, 535b13f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48.</sup> Nanjio 1883: 78, no. 303.

artavān māh; a name of the Iranian first month of the year) or hufrawardān ("[festival] of the honourable deceased").

#### (5.3) Xuanying's Interpretations are not reliable

There are two basic mistakes which have caused such controversies surrounding the etymology of yulanpen 盂蘭盆. The first is that scholars trusted Xuanying (玄應)'s Yiqiejing Yinyi 一切經音義 too credulously. As Xuanzang's assistant, he participated in translating Sanskrit Buddhist scriptures into Chinese and compiled the Yiqiejing Yinyi, consisting of 25 juans, by the royal order. In this vast glossary, he commented on 450 Chinese Buddhist texts by explaining difficult Chinese characters, expressions and transliterations. It is obvious that he had a very sound knowledge of Sanskrit. However, problem is, he most probably only knew Sanskrit and not Middle Indic, let alone Gāndhārī, which had for a time been used widely in many Buddhist scriptures but subsequently became disused long before his time. Therefore, his comments on older translations often miss the point, as I have indicated elsewhere<sup>49</sup>. For example, his comments on manao 馬腦 = 瑪瑙 are as follows:

manao 馬腦: In Sanskrit 謨薩羅揭婆 (musalagarbha). 謨薩羅 (musala) means "pestle" in Chinese; 揭婆 (garbha) means "storehouse" in Chinese. It means also "embryo", referring to its (i.e. manao's) solidness. It was, in the past, also called 摩娑羅伽隸 (masāragalla) or 目薩羅伽羅婆 (musāragalva). It is translated as manao 馬腦. I assume that it is called so, because the colour of this precious stone resembles, at times, that of a horse's brain. However, in dictionaries, the radical shi 石 is added to these characters and hence, they are written as 碼碯 in order to show that it is a kind of stone. 50

Thus, Xuanying interpreted manao 馬腦 as a translation of Skt. musalagarbha (= musāra-garbha, masāragarbha, musāragalva; amethyst?)<sup>51</sup>, and commented that it was called so, because its colour resembles a horse's brain! This strange explanation is stilled used even to this day.<sup>52</sup> However, in actual fact, manao 馬腦 ("agate") is a rendering of Skt. aśmagarbha ("emerald") and its variant form aśvagarbha, found in older manuscripts.<sup>53</sup> An ancient Chinese translator probably interpreted this word as consisting of aśva ("horse") and garbha ("womb; the interior of anything; an inner room; embryo") and so, translated it as manao 馬腦, meaning literally "the brain of a horse". He may have translated garbha as nao 腦 because the shape of the brain resembles an embryo in the womb.

Xuanying also interpreted cha 刹, the multi-storeyed disc-shaped structure above a  $st\bar{u}pa$ , as the transliteration of Skt. ksetra ("field") and set out a detailed speculation about this word. However, as I have pointed out elsewhere, cha 刹 is a transliteration of Skt. chattra ("parasol; a disc-shaped structure above a  $st\bar{u}pa$ ")54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49.</sup> Karashima 2007: 451f. = 2008: 150f.

<sup>50</sup> 馬腦:梵言謨薩羅揭婆。謨薩羅,此譯云杵;揭婆,此言藏。或言胎者,取其堅實也。舊云摩娑羅伽隸,或言目薩羅伽羅婆。譯云馬腦。案此寶或色如馬腦,因以為名。但諸字書旁皆安石作碼碯二 (read字)。謂石之類也。(*Zhonghua Dazangjing* 中華大藏經, vol. 56, no. 1163, 905c11f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Cf. Karashima 2007: 451, n. 31 = 2008: 161, n. 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> E.g. Foguang Dacidian 佛光大詞典, pp. 5839, 6738.

<sup>53.</sup> Cf. Krsh(2001) 170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Karashima 2007: 447f. = 2008: 146f.

Thus, Xuanying's knowledge of Indian languages is quite doubtful. His interpretation of *yulanpen* 盂蘭盆 is also one of his many peculiar interpretations. As such, the above-stated modern theories concerning this term which are premised on his dubious reconstruction, are thus unfounded.

#### (5.4) Necessity of Reading the Text Itself

The second mistake, which has caused a great deal of confusion surrounding the meaning of yulanpen, is that most of the scholars have investigated the word yulanpen 盂蘭盆 out of its original context. If it means "hanging upside down", "saving", "soul", a name of a month or a festival, then how can the phrase 以百味飲食安盂蘭盆中 "place food and drink of a hundred flavours in yulanpen" possibly be interpreted? As we shall see later, yulanpen clearly means a vessel in the original context.

#### (6) The Meanings of Yulan 盂蘭 and Yulanpen 盂蘭盆

As we have seen above, this scripture had been entitled Yulan jing 盂蘭經, while the name Yulanpen jing 盂蘭盆經 appeared first no earlier than 695 C.E. in the Dazhou Kanding zhongjing Mulu 大周刊定衆經目録55. This fact indicates that we should not interpret this expression as a single term but as a compound word comprising yulan 盂蘭 and pen 盆.

As mentioned earlier, the word *yulanpen* 盂蘭盆 occurs three times in the text, namely:

- (1) 應奉盂蘭盆 "(Those who wish to practise filial piety,) should also offer *yulan* bowls (to the assemblage of monks)."
- (2) 以百味飲食安盂蘭盆中 "(Those who wish to practise filial piety, shoud) place food and drink of a hundred flavours in *yulan* bowls"
- (3) 作盂蘭盆施佛及僧 "(Those who wish to practise filial piety, shoud) prepare *yulan* bowls and offer them to the Buddha and the Community.

From the second phrase, it is evident that 盂蘭盆 is a sort of vessel in which food is placed. Therefore, *pen* 盆 in this compound means simply "bowl" as in the usual Chinese usage. From the phrases (1) and (2), it is clear that a bowl with food in it, offered to the Buddha and the community of monks on the occasion of the *Pravāraṇā*, is called "a *yulan* bowl" (盂蘭盆).

It is most probable that yulan 盂蘭 is a transliteration. As, except for yulan 盂蘭, there are no examples of the character yu 盂 (EH  $\gamma$ jwo > MC ju), used as a transliteration in the whole of the Buddhist Canon, it is, therefore, difficult to presume its underlying original form. However, there is a character which, like yu 盂, belongs to the yu 魚 category in Old Chinese and to the yu 虞 rhyme in Middle Chinese, namely qu 瞿 (also pronounced as ju; EH gj(w)o, kj(w)o > MC gju, kju). Dharmarakṣa and other earlier translators used this character qu 瞿 to render Skt. go and ko; e.g. 瞿曇爾 (Pā. Gotama, Skt. Gautama; T. 9, no. 263 by Dharmarakṣa; 286 C.E.), 瞿曇摩 (Pā. Gotama, Skt. Gautama; T. 1, no. 1, 107b15 etc.;

<sup>55.</sup> Cf. note 12.

<sup>56.</sup> Although Irisawa (1990: 164) maintains that 盂蘭 may be related to a Chinese word 干藍, meaning a stilt house, seen amongst the ethnic minorities in South-west China, this theory seems rather difficult to accept.

412~413 C.E.), 瞿舍利 (BHS. Gośalī; ib. 107b15), 瞿夷 (Skt = Pā. Gopikā; ib. 63c3), 瞿波梨 (BHS. Kokālika; ib. 126a16). Tonsequently, from yulan 盂蘭, one may assume \*olăn(ă), \*olăn(ă), \*orăn(ă), \*orăn(ă), \*orăn(ă) as its original form. Unfortunately, there is no such Indian form which makes sense in this context. As we have seen above, yulan 盂蘭 is an attribute to pen盆, a vessel. It can be an adjective like "excellent, great, wooden, iron" and so on or a noun which denotes a place or opportunity (such as a name of a festival). It is also possible that it means the content of a vessel, such as "water (bowl), curry (bowl), rice (bowl)" and so on and the content must be an offering to the Community on the Pravāraṇā day. The last choice seems, in my eyes, more suitable than other possibilities.

Then, the question that follows is this: what was and is still offered in such bowls on the occasion of the *Pravāraṇā* day in India, Theravāda Buddhist countries and East Asia? The most popular was and is probably cooked rice, which is called *odana* in Sanskrit and Pāli. *Odana* is what is given when monks are invited. For example, in the *Prātimokṣasūtra* or the *Pātimokkhasutta* in Pāli, dating back to the earliest times of Buddhist history, *odana*, meaning "boiled rice", is referred to together with *sūpa* ("sauce") and *vyañjana* ("condiments") as being offered to monks as alms. Odana as alms appears everywhere in Pāli and Sanskrit literature. Odana is boiled rice without fluid60, though it is defined sometimes as "rice-porridge".

In Middle Indic, including Pāli and Gāndhārī (Gā), -d- sporadically changes to -l-61, e.g. Skt. ādīpayati > Pāli ālimpeti; Skt. kumuda > Gā. kumula. There are also quite a few old Chinese transliterations which indicate this development in the underlying languages, such as 拘文羅 (EH. kou[kjou] mjən la; Gā. kumula < Skt. kumuda) in Lokakṣema's translation (179 C.E.) of the Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā<sup>62</sup>. The following are examples from the Chinese translation of the Dīrghāgama (T. 1, no. 1; 413 C.E.): 伽伽羅(MC. lâ)(13a13, 34b8), 伽拘羅(MC. lâ) (128a1) < BHS Kakuda, Skt. Kakudha; 那羅(MC. lâ) (34c27) < Pā. Nādikā; 伽藍(MC. lâm)浮(115b26) < kadamba; 波那攭(MC. lwo) (80a11) < Pā. Panādo, Skt. Praṇādaḥ; 布吒婆樓 (MC. ləu) < Pā. Poṭṭhapādo<sup>63</sup>.

In early Chinese translations, the last vowel of a word was generally not transliterated, e.g. 阿含 (EH. ʔa gəm) < Skt. Āgama; 阿難 (EH. ʔa nan) < Skt. Ānanda; 泥洹 (EH. niəi γwan) < Skt. nirvāṇa; 安般 (EH. ʔan pan) < Skt. ānāpāna etc.

It is, therefore, probable that *yulan* 盂蘭 (MC. ju lân) is a transliteration of a Middle Indic form \*olana (not attested) from Skt. odana, and yulanpen 盂蘭盆 may mean "a bowl for boiled rice", i.e. "a rice bowl"<sup>64</sup>. Odana in this compound was probably transliterated in order to designate that this was no ordinary rice bowl but one specially used for the ceremony. Consequently, the original title of this scripture, *Yulan jing*, might mean

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57.</sup> Cf. Karashima 1994: 58f.; 1996: 37f.

<sup>58</sup> Pātim 84.13, 96.14, 96.18; PrMoSū(Sa.v.Si.) 236.10, 247.2, 249.10, 250.1; PrMoSū(Mā-L) 29.27, 33.1, 33.4.

<sup>59</sup> Cf. CPD, s.v. odana; DP, s.v. odana; SWTF, s.v. odana; Geiger 1960: § 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Cf. Vism 70.18. *odana-pinda* ("a lump of boiled rice"); Harivamśa 60.16. *prakāśaudanaparvata* ("a mountain of shining cooked rice"; my thanks are due to Ms. Hiromi Oikawa for drawing my attention to this reference).

<sup>61.</sup> Cf. Pischel § 244, Brough 1962: § 43b, 229, 255~256; von Hinüber 2001: § 200.

<sup>62.</sup> Cf. Krsh 2010: 281f.

<sup>63.</sup> Cf. Karashima 1994: 19, 64, n. 38.

<sup>64.</sup> In the Abhisamācārikā Dharmāḥ, we find a word odana-mānikā ("a vessel for boiled rice"); cf. Abhis II 370, n. 4.

"Odana Sutra" or "The Sutra of Boiled Rice", while Yulanpen jing could be "The Sutra of Rice Bowl".

#### (7) The Yulanpen jing 盂蘭盆經 is not apocryphal

Although, this sutra has often been regarded as apocryphal, the contents and ideas in it are well rooted in India as we have seen above. In addition to that, the vocabulary and usage of Chinese words are more archaic, compared with those of Kumārajīva's corpus (401~413 C.E.), while they resemble greatly the translations by Dharmarakṣa (fl. 265?~311 C.E.). Moreover, the transliteration 鉢和羅 (EH pat γwa la > MC pwât γwâ lâ) of Skt. pravāra(nā), which occurs only in this sutra and its adaptation, i.e. the Baoen Fengpen jing 報恩奉盆經 (T. 16, no. 686, 780a20), indicates clearly that this sutra is not apocryphal but a genuine translation, because only somebody who knew the original Indian form was able to transliterate it thus correctly into Chinese.<sup>65</sup>

In conclusion, I assume that this sutra is not apocryphal, but a translation from an Indian text translated by Dharmarakşa or somebody else in pre-Kumārajīva times.

#### Abbreviations and Bibliography

Abhis = Die Abhisamācārikā Dharmāh: Verhaltensregeln für buddhistische Mönche der Mahāsāmghika-Lokottaravādins, herausgegeben, mit der chinesischen Parallelversion verglichen, übersetzt und kommentiert, unter Mitwirkung von Oskar von Hinüber, Tokyo 2012: International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology, Soka University, (Bibliotheca Philologica et Philosophica Buddhica XIII), 3 vols.

#### Ashikaga, Ensho

- 1951 "Notes on Urabon ("Yü Lan P'ên, Ullambana")", in: *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, New Haven, 71.1: 71~75.
- Av\u00e9 = Avad\u00e4na\u00e9ataka: A Century of Edifying Tales Belonging to the H\u00fcnay\u00e4na, ed. J. S. Speyer; St.-Petersbourg: Acad\u00e9mie Imp\u00e9riale des Sciences, 1902 (Bibliotheca Buddhica 3/a), 2 vols; Reprint: Tokyo, Meicho-Fuky\u00fc-kai, 1977.
- Avś(tr) = Avadâna-çataka: Cent légendes bouddhiques, traduite du Sanskrit par Leon Feer Paris: Leroux, 1891 (Annales du Musée Guimet, tom. 18); Reprint: Amsterdam 1979: APA-Oriental Press.
- BHSD = Franklin Edgerton, *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary*, New Haven, 1953: Yale University Press; Repr. Delhi, <sup>2</sup>1970: Motilal Banarsidass.

Brough, John

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup>. There is another archaic transliteration of the same Sanskrit word, namely 鉢和蘭 (EH pat γwa lan > MC pwât γwâ lân; = Skt. pravāraṇ(ā)), which is found also only in pre-Kumārajīva translations: namely the Xinsui jing 新歲經 by Tan Wulan 曇無蘭 (fl. 381?~394 C.E.?), T. 1, no. 62, 859, 860c12, 13, 24, 28 etc.; the Chuyao jing 出曜經 by Zhu Fonian 竺佛念 (fl. during the Eastern Jin Dynasty [317~420 C.E.]), T. 4, no. 212, 775b17; the Zishisanmei jing 自誓三昧經 allegedly by An Shigao 安世高 (fl. 148~168 C.E.) but probably a translation made in the 3<sup>rd</sup>~4<sup>th</sup> century, T. 15, no. 622, 346a3, 5; the Rulai Duzheng Zishisanmei jing 如來獨證自誓三昧 經 by Dharmarakṣa (fl. 265?~311 C.E.), T. 15, no. 623, 348a20.

#### PDF Version: ARIRIAB XVI (2013)

1962 *The Gāndhārī Dharmapada*, London 1962: Oxford University Press (*London Oriental Series*, vol. 7).

#### Chung, Jin-Il

1998 Die Pravāraṇā in den kanonischen Vinaya-Texten der Mūlasarvāstivādin und der Sarvāstivādin, bearbeitet und herausgegeben, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht (Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, Beiheft 7).

#### Coblin, W. South

- 1983 A Handbook of Eastern Han Sound Glosses, Hong Kong: The Chinese University
  Press
- CPD = A Critical Pāli Dictionary, begun by V. Trenckner, ed. D. Andersen et al., Copenhagen, Bristol, 1924~2011.
- DN = The Dīgha Nikāya, ed. T.W. Rhys Davids and J. E. Carpenter, 3 vols., London 1890~1911: The Pali Text Society.
- DP = A Dictionary of Pāli, by Margaret Cone, Oxford 2001~: The Pali Text Society.
- EH = reconstructions of the Eastern Han (25~220 C.E.) Chinese sound system, posited by Coblin (1983)

#### Fujimoto Akira 藤本 晃

2003 "Bussetsu Urabonkyō no Genryū — Petavatthu II.2. Sāriputtattherassa mātupetīvatthu tono Hikakukōsatsu" 『佛説盂蘭盆経』の源流 — Petavatthu II.2 Sāriputtattherassa mātupetīvatthu との比較考察, in *Pārigaku Bukkyōbunkagaku パーリ学*仏教文化学 [Journal of Pali and Buddhist Studies], vol. 17: 47~54.

#### Geiger, Wilhelm

1960 *Culture of Ceylon in Mediaeval Times*, ed. Heinz Bechert, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz; 2., unveränderte Aufl. Wiesbaden 1986: Steiner Verlag.

#### Guang Xing 廣興

2005 "Filial Piety in Early Buddhism", in: Journal of Buddhist Ethics, vol. 12: 82~106.

Harivaṃśa = The Harivaṃśa: Being the Khila or Supplement to the Mahābhārata, ed. Parashuram Lakshman Vaidya, Poona 1969: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 2 vols.

#### Hartmann, Jens-Uwe and Klaus Wille

2006 "A Version of the Śikhālakasūtra/Singālovādasutta", in: *Manuscripts in the Schøyen Collection: Buddhist Manuscripts*, vol. III (2006), ed. Jens Braarvig *et al.*, Oslo: Hermes Publishing, pp. 1~6.

#### von Hinüber, Oskar

- 2001 Das ältere Mittelindisch im Überblick, 2., erweiterte Auflage, Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- IBInsc = Keishō Tsukamoto (塚本啓祥), Indo Bukkyō Himei no Kenkyū インド仏教碑銘の研究 [A Comprehensive Study of Indian Buddhist Inscriptions], part I, Text, Notes and Japanese Translation; part II, Indices, Maps and Illustrations; part III, Inscriptions in Northern Areas, Pakistan, Kyoto 1996~2003: Heirakuji Shoten.

#### Irisawa, Takashi 入澤 崇

- 1989 "Ekō no Genryū" 廻向の源流 [The Origin of Merit Transference], in: Seinan Ajia Kenkyū 西南アジア研究 30: 1~20.
- 1990 "Bussetsu Urabonkyō Seiritsukō" 佛説盂蘭盆経成立考 [The Formation of the Yülan-pên-ching], in: *Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū* 仏教学研究 45/46: 145~172.

#### Iwamoto, Yutaka 岩本裕

1979 Jigoku Meguri no Bungaku 地獄めぐりの文学, Tokyo: Kaimeishoyin 開明書院 (Bukkyō Setsuwa Kenkyū 佛教説話研究 IV).

#### Karashima, Seishi 辛嶋静志

1994 Jō-agonkyō no Gengo no Kenkyū — Onshago Bunseki o Chūshin tosite 「長阿含経」 の原語の研究——音写語分析を中心として [A Study of the Underlying Language

- of the Chinese Dīrghâgama—Focusing on an Analysis of the Transliterations], Tokyo: Hirakawa Shuppansha, 1994.
- 1996 "Vernacularisms and Transcriptions in Early Chinese Buddhist Scriptures", in: *Vernacularisms in Medieval Chinese Texts* by Erick Zürcher, Seishi Karashima, and Huanming Qin, *Sino-Platonic Papers*, no. 71, Department of Asian and Middle Eastern Studies, University of Pennsylvania, pp. 32~42.
- 2007 "Kan-yaku Butten no Gengo no Kenkyū" 漢訳仏典の言語の研究 [A Study of the Language of the Chinese Buddhist Translations], in: Annual Report of The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University, vol. 10: 445-460.
- 2008 "Hanyi Fodian de Yuyanyanjiu (3)" 漢譯佛典的語言研究(三)[A Study of the Language of the Chinese Buddhist Translations (3)], in: Yuyanxue Luncong 語言學論 叢 vol. 37, Beijing: Commercial Press, pp. 144~168.

#### Kieffer-Pülz, Petra

- 2006 "Old and New Ritual: Advancing the Date of the Invitation Ceremony (pavāraṇā) with Regard to the Mahinda Festival", in: Jaina-Itihāsa-Ratna. Festschrift für Gustav Roth zum 90. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Ute Hüsken, Petra Kieffer-Pülz und Anne Peters, Marburg 2006 (Indica et Tibetica. 47.), pp. 339~349.
- Krsh(1998) = Seishi Karashima, A Glossary of Dharmarakṣa's Translation of the Lotus Sutra 正法 華經詞典, Tokyo 1998: The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University (Bibliotheca Philologica et Philosophica Buddhica I).
- Krsh(2001) = Seishi Karashima, A Glossary of Kumārajīva's Translation of the Lotus Sutra 妙法蓮華經詞典, Tokyo 2001: The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University (Bibliotheca Philologica et Philosophica Buddhica IV).
- Krsh(2010) = Seishi Karashima, A Glossary of Lokakṣema's Translation of the Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā 道行般若經詞典, Tokyo 2010: The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University (Bibliotheca Philologica et Philosophica Buddhica XI).
- MC = Middle Chinese reconstruction: a reconstruction of the *Qieyun* 切韻 System. In this article, the *Qieyun* System forms, reconstructed by Karlgren and revised by F. K. Li are used. The following further notational changes, made by Coblin (1983: 41), are also adopted here: (1): will be written as ?-; (2) ĕ will be written as e.

#### Nanjio, Bunyiu 南條文雄

- 1883 A Catalogue of the Chinese Translation of the Buddhist Tripiṭaka: The Sacred Canon of the Buddhists in China and Japan, comp. by order of the secretary of state for India, by Bunyiu Nanjio, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Pātim = The Patimokkha, edited by William Pruitt, translated by K. R. Norman, Oxford 2001; Reprinted with corrections 2003: Pali Text Society.
- Pischel = Richard Pischel, *Grammatik der Prakrit-Sprachen*, Straßburg 1900: Karl J. Trübner (Grundriß der Indo-arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde I, 8).
- PrMoSū(Ma-L) = Prātimokṣasūtram of the Lokottaravādimahāsānghika School, ed. Nathmal Tatia, Patna 1976 (Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series 16).
- PrMoSū(Sa.v.Si.) = Georg von Simson, *Prātimokṣasūtra der Sarvāstivādins*, Nach Vorarbeiten von Else Lüders und Herbert Härtel herausgegeben, Teil II: Kritische Textausgabe, Übersetzung, Wortindex sowie Nachträge zu Teil I, Göttingen 2000 (STT 11; AAWG 238).
- Pv = *Petavatthu*, in: *Vimānavatthu and Petavatthu*, new edition by N.A. Jayawickrama, London 1977: The Pali Text Society.
- Pv-a(tr) = Elucidation of the Intrinsic Meaning: so named The Commentary on the Peta-Stories (Paramattha-dīpanī nāma Petavatthu-aṭṭhakathā) by Dhammapāla, translated by U

#### PDF Version: ARIRIAB XVI (2013)

Ba Kyaw, edited and annotated by Peter Masefield; London 1980: PTS; Lancaster 2007: PTS (Sacred Books of the Buddhists, v. 34).

#### Schopen, Gregory

- "Filial Piety and the Monk in the Practice of Indian Buddhism: A Question of "Sinicization" Viewed from the Other Side", in: *T'oung Pao* 70: 110~126 = 1997: 56~71.
- 1997 Bones, Stones, and Buddhist Monks: Collected Papers on the Archaeology, Epigraphy, and Texts of Monastic Buddhism in India, Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press (Studies in the Buddhist Traditions 1).
- T = Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō 大正新修大藏經, ed. Junjirō Takakusu, Kaikyoku Watanabe, 100 vols., Tokyo 1924~1934.
- Takakusu, Junjirō (高楠順次郎)
  - 1896 A Record of the Buddhist Religion, as Practised in India and the Malay Archipelago (AD 671-695), by I-Tsing, trans. Junjirō Takakusu, London 1896; Reprint: New Delhi 1966, 1982: Munshiram Manoharlal.

#### Teiser, Stephen F.

- 1988 The Ghost Festival in Medieval China Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tib(D) = Derge (sDe dge) Canon; facsimile reproductions:
  - (1) Bstan 'gyur sde dge'i par ma: Commentaries on the Buddha's Word by Indian Masters (CD-Rom), New York: The Tibetan Buddhist Resource Center (TBRC).
  - (2) The Tibetan Tripitaka: Taipei Edition, ed. A. W. Barber, Taipei 1991: SMC Publishing.
- Tib(Pk) = The Tibetan Tripitaka: Peking Edition 影印北京版西藏大藏經, ed. Daisetz T. Suzuki, 168 vols., repr. under the Supervision of Otani University, Kyoto, Tokyo 1955~1961: Tibetan Tripitaka Research Institute.

#### Upasak, Chandrika Singh

- 1975 Dictionary of Early Buddhist Monastic Terms (Based on Pali Literature), 1st ed., Varanasi: Bharati Prakashan.
- Vism = The Visuddhi-magga of Buddhaghosa, ed. C. A. F. Rhys Davids, 2 vols., London 1920~ 1921; Reprinted 1975: London: The Pali Text Society.

### Corrigenda to ARIRIAB XVI (2013)

p. 293, l. 22 near >>> year p. 295, l. 38 varsa >>> varṣa